- 1		
1	JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 9017 E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com	
3	MARTA D. KURSHUMOVA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14728	
4	E-mail: mkurshumova@hkm.com	
5	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP 1785 East Sahara, Suite 300	
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Tel: (702) 805-8340	
7	Fax: (702) 920-8112	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	RASHAUD BLANDBERG, an Individual,	
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 2:19-cv-01519-RFB-BNW
13	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR A
14		SIXTY (60) DAY EXTENSION OF THE
15	ADVANCED LIGHTING AND ELECTRIC, INC., DOES I -X; ROE	DISCOVERY SCHEDULE
16	CORPORATIONS I -X.	(FIRST REQUEST)
17		
18	Defendant.	
19	The parties Plaintiff RASHAUD	RI ANDRERG (" Plaintiff") and Defendant
20	The parties, Plaintiff RASHAUD BLANDBERG ("Plaintiff") and Defendant	
21	ADVANCED LIGHTING AND ELECTRINC, INC. ("Defendant"), by and through their	
22	respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:	
23	1. That the close of Discovery currently set for July 20, 2020, be extended by a	
24	period of sixty (60) days, and become due on September 18, 2020 or as soon thereafter as the	
25	Court may allow;	
26		
27		
28		
-~	Dagas	1 of 4

- 2. That the deadline to file dispositive motions, currently due on August 19, 2020, be extended sixty (60) days, and become due on October 19, 2020 or as soon thereafter as the Court may allow;
- 3. That the deadline to file a pretrial order, currently due September 18, 2020, be extended sixty (60) days, and become due on November 17, 2020 or as soon thereafter as the Court may allow, unless dispositive motions have been filed and not decided and, in that case, thirty (30) days after decision on the dispositive motions or a further court order.
- 4. That the Parties exchanged Initial Disclosures and written discovery requests, including requests for documents, interrogatories, and requests for admissions;
 - 5. That the Parties exchanged responses to the written discovery requests;
- 6. That the Parties have recently been conferring about the adequacy of certain of the discovery responses served by Defendant and believe if given additional time, the Parties may be able to resolve their discovery dispute without intervention by the Court;
- 7. That Plaintiff's counsel and Defendants' counsel have been in contact regarding the suitability of extending discovery in this matter based upon the current dispute over the adequacy of the written discovery responses served by Defendant, and the need for resolution of such dispute before the deposition of Defendant may proceed. Both Plaintiff's and Defendant's counsel agree that an extension of the remaining discovery deadlines is warranted for this matter to be fully litigated on the merits and an extension is in the best interests of both the Parties and the Court;
- 8. That the Parties have the following depositions outstanding: Plaintiff, Person Most Knowledgeable for Defendant; Defendant, deposition of the Plaintiff. The Parties have not taken those depositions because the Parties would prefer to complete the exchange of

1

6

11

21

23

25

27

written discovery. Without a complete exchange of written discovery, the depositions will not 2 be as meaningful. 3 9. The parties currently have a dispute regarding the Defendant's request for an in-4 person deposition of Plaintiff. The parties are hopeful that with the additional time, Court 5 intervention in the dispute will not be necessary. 10. The parties have a dispute regarding the topics outlined in Plaintiff's deposition 7 8 notice for the Person Most Knowledgeable of Advanced Lighting. The parties are hopeful that 9 with the additional time, Court intervention in the dispute will not be necessary. The deposition 10 of the Person Most Knowledgeable, when scheduled, will take place via remote means due to the ongoing Covid pandemic. 12 11. The parties have agreed on acceptable dates for the deposition of Mr. Domschott 13 and the deposition is anticipated to take place on July 28, 29 or 30, 2020. The deposition of 14 15 Mr. Domschott will take place via remote means due to the ongoing Covid Pandemic. 16 12. That good cause exists for the request for the Discovery deadline to be extended 17 until September 20, 2020 for the above-specified reasons. 18 /// 19 20 22 24 26 28

|| Case 2:19-cv-01519-RFB-BNW | Document 17 | Filed 07/21/20 | Page 4 of 4

1	13. This is the parties' first request for an extension of the discovery schedule. All		
2	parties believe the requested extension is warranted under the current circumstances and will		
3	not result in undue delay in the administration of this cause.		
5	Dated: July 17, 2020.	Dated: July 17, 2020.	
6	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP	LOVATO LAW FIRM, P.C	
7	By: /s/ Jenny L. Foley JENNY L. FOLEY (Bar No. 9017)	By: <u>/s/ Mario P. Lovato</u> MARIO P. LOVATO	
8	MARTA D. KURSHUMOVA (Bar	(Bar No. 7427)	
9	No. 14728) 1785 East Sahara, Suite 300	7465 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: (702) 805-8340	Telephone: (702) 979-9047 Facsimile: (702) 554-3858	
11	Facsimile: (702) 920-8112 Email: <u>ifoley@hkm.com</u>	Email: mpl@lovatolaw.com Attorneys for Defendant	
12	Email: mkurshumova@hkm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff		
14	Autorneys for 1 tuning		
15			
16			
17			
18		IT IS SO ORDERED	
19		DATED: 4:03 pm, July 21, 2020	
20		\mathcal{O}	
21		Berbweter	
22		BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			